**HUMPHREY & RIST, LLP** 1 Christina A. Humphrey, Esq. (SBN 226326) Thomas A. Rist, Esq. (SBN 351 Paseo Nuevo, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Santa Barbara, California 93101 3 Telephone: (805) 618-2924 Facsimile: 4 (805) 618-2939 christina@humphrevrist.com 5 TOWER LEGAL GROUP, PC James A. Clark, Esq. (SBN 278372) 6 james.clark@towerlegalgroup.com 1510 J Street, Suite 125 Sacramento, California 95814 8 Telephone: (916) 361-6009 Facsimile: (916) 361-6019 9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 10 11 12 13 14 DAVIT PITSHIKYAN, on behalf of himself at ) all others similarly situated, 15 Plaintiffs. 16 ٧. 17 DEPENDABLE HIGHWAY EXPRESS, 18 INC a California limited liability company; and DOES 1-10 19 20 Defendant. 21 22 23 24 25

POPEC 14 AM 7:53

## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

CASE NO. 34-2015-00182832 (Assigned to Hon. Alan G. Perkins, Dept. 35)

[REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

DATE:

December 7, 2016

TIME:

9:00 a.m.

DEPT:

54

Complaint Filed:

August 10, 2015



26

and damages issues.

On December 7, 2016, at 9:00 a.m., the Court heard an unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Provisional Class Certification by Plaintiff Davit Pitshikyan. The Court has considered the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Preliminary Approval, the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Final Approval Hearing ("Notice") and Class Member Information Sheet (collectively, the "Notice Packet") and all related declarations and exhibits and hereby finds and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Stipulation of Settlement and Release (the "Agreement"), filed with the Court as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Christina A. Humphrey, falls within the range of reasonableness and, therefore, meets the requirements for preliminary approval. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement and all defined terms shall have the same meaning in this Order as in the Agreement. The Court further finds on a preliminary basis that the Gross Settlement Fund is fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential

2. The Court conditionally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following class ("Class") described in the Motion for Preliminary Approval:

Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability

"Class Members" means all current and former California-based truck drivers for Defendant from August 10, 2011, through the date notice is mailed to class members, who were classified by Defendant as "independent contractors."

- 3. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, the requirements of California Rules of Court 3.769 and California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are satisfied.
- 4. This Order, which provisionally certifies a class action for settlement purposes only, shall not be cited in this or any matter for the purpose of seeking class certification, opposing decertification, or for any other purpose, other than enforcing the terms of the Agreement.

l "California-based" refers to Drivers: (i) who had a residential address in California at any time during the Class Period; and/or (ii) who were assigned or associated with any warehouses and/or service centers in California at any time during the Class Period. The phrase "assigned or associated with any warehouses and/or service centers" includes any and all Drivers listed in Defendants' database in connection with a warehouse or service center.

- 5. The Court appoints for settlement purposes only, as the Class Representative, Davit Pitshikyan.
- 6. The Court appoints for settlement purposes only, Christina A. Humphrey of Humphrey Rist LLP, and James A. Clark of Tower Legal Group, PC, as Class Counsel for the purposes of Settlement and the releases and other obligations therein. ILYM Group, Inc. is appointed as Settlement Administrator.
- 7. The Notice Packet, consisting of the Notice, attached as Exhibit 2 to the Settlement Agreement, and the Class Member Information Sheet attached as Exhibit 3 to the Settlement Agreement, respectively, are approved.
- 8. The Settlement Administrator is ordered to mail the Notice Packet to the Class Members as provided in the Agreement. The Court finds that the Notice Packet constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is in compliance with the applicable standards of due process, and appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members of the material elements of the Agreement, their right to be excluded from the Class and their opportunity to object to the Settlement.
- 9. Each Class Member who wishes to object to the Settlement must file with the Court and serve on the Settlement Administrator, Class Counsel, and Defendant's Counsel a written objection to the Settlement, signed by the Class Member, and postmarked no later than 30 days from the initial mailing of the Notice Packet. In the event the Settlement Administrator must re-mail a Notice Packet, those Class Members shall have an additional fourteen (14) days to object to the Settlement: provided however, that all objections must be postmarked no later than 60 days from the date of the initial mailing of the Notice Packet.
- 10. Each Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement must submit a written Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator containing the information set forth in Section 7 of the Class Notice, signed by the Class Member, and postmarked no later than 30 days from the initial mailing of the Notice Packet. In the event the Settlement Administrator must re-mail a Notice Packet, those Class Members shall have an additional fourteen (14) days to request

10 11

9

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22 23

21

24 25

27

28

26

postmarked no later than 60 days from the date of the initial mailing of the Notice Packet. The First Amended Complaint, attached to the Agreement as Exhibit 1, is hereby 11.

exclusion from the Settlement; provided, however, that all Requests for Exclusion must be

- deemed filed for settlement purposes only as of the date of this Order. Defendant has no obligation to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint.
- If the Agreement is not finally approved by the Court or for any reason is terminated 12. or otherwise does not become effective, the following will occur: (1) this Preliminary Approval Order, and all of its provisions, will be automatically vacated; (2) the case will proceed as if no settlement has been attempted and notice will be provided to the putative class members that the settlement will not proceed and that, as a result, no payments will be made; (3) no party shall be deemed to have waived any claims, objections, rights, or defenses, or legal arguments or positions, including, but not limited to, objections to class certification and claims or defenses on the merits; (4) no term or draft of the Agreement, or any aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, including related documentation, will have any effect or be admissible into evidence for any purpose in the case or in any other proceeding; and (5) the Defendant shall have no obligation to pay all or any part of the Gross Settlement Fund referenced in the Agreement.
- During the Court's consideration of the settlement and pending further order of the 13. Court, all proceedings in this case, other than proceedings necessary to carry out the terms and provisions of the Agreement, or as otherwise directed by the Court, are hereby stayed and suspended.
- Plaintiff shall file his Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement and Petition for 14. Attorney Fees and Expenses fourteen days prior to the expiration of the opt-out and objection deadline for class members.
- The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing to determine whether the proposed Settlement 15. is fair, reasonable and adequate on February 28, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 54 of Sacramento Superior Court, 813 6th Street, Second Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814.

ı	
2	DATED:
3	The Honorable Rayfrignd Cadei
4	DEC 1 4 2016
5	RAYMOND M. CADEL 4836-0402-5147, v. 1
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	•
	5
	[Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement Case No. 34-2015-00182832
• • •	# 1121 = 113 <b>VV132</b> 532